

A NOTE ON THE MASS OF THE NEUTRINO

B.G. Sidharth*

Centre for Applicable Mathematics & Computer Sciences
B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarsh Nagar, Hyderabad - 500063 (India)

Abstract

In the light of the recent Super-Kamiokande experiments which demonstrate neutrino oscillation, and therefore a non zero mass, it is pointed out how such a mass has also been deduced theoretically.

Very recent experiments at the Super-Kamiokande Laboratory in Japan have confirmed results of earlier experiments that there is a neutrino oscillation and therefore the neutrino has a small mass[1] in which case the standard model and problems of dark matter would have to be reexamined, while other anomalies like the missing solar neutrinos would be explained (cf.also.ref. [2]). The object of the present note is to draw attention to the fact that a neutrino mass, roughly a billionth that of the electron was theoretically deduced[3]. The starting point of this deduction was the model of elementary Fermions as Kerr-Newman type Black Holes with horizon at the Compton wavelength in a Quantum Mechanical context which overcomes the naked singularity[4, 5, 6]. To put it simply Fermionic or spinorial or doubly connected behaviour results from the fact that the negative energy solutions predominate within the Compton wavelength while it is the positive energy solutions that dominate outside. It was argued that in the case where the mass is vanishingly small or equivalently the Compton wavelength is very large, we would be encountering mostly the negative energy solutions, so that not only would the spinorial behaviour be effected (that is there would be anomalous Bosonization effects),

⁰E-mail:birlasc@hd1.vsnl.net.in

but also handedness would appear owing to the fact that the negative energy solutions have opposite parity, as in the case of the neutrino.

Infact the Bosonization effects for the neutrino, without any contradiction with the spin statistics theory can be independently verified[7] (cf.Appendix). This fact leads to an interesting consequence. If we used the usual Fermi Dirac statistics for a neutrino gas under equilibrium conditions, we would have[8]

$$PV = \frac{2}{3}U, \quad (1)$$

Instead if we take into consideration the Bosonization effect, we would have

$$PV = \frac{1}{3}U, \quad (2)$$

In the above P, V and U denote the pressure, volume and energy of the collection.

We also have

$$PV \propto NkT,$$

where N and T denote the number of particles and temperature respectively. Interestingly at a given temperature and energy, on comparing (1) and (2) it can be seen that the number of neutrinos is effectively halved. Indeed this is exactly the unexplained discrepancy between the observed number of neutrinos and their predicted number[9].

If we now consider a fixed number of neutrinos and a given temperature, a comparison of (1) and (2) shows that the effective energy U' of the neutrinos would be twice the expected energy U . This means that the neutrino acquires a mass m , which can be easily shown to be, from the above considerations,

$$\frac{mc^2}{k} \approx \sqrt{3}T \quad (3)$$

At the present background temperature of about $2^\circ K$, equation (3) gives a neutrino mass m ,

$$10^{-9}m_e \leq m \leq 10^{-8}m_e \quad (4)$$

where m_e is the electron rest mass.

It is remarkable that (3) is exactly what is required to be deduced theoretically to justify recent models of lepton conservation or in certain unification schemes[2, 10].

We now observe that the balance of the gravitational force and the Fermi energy of these cold background neutrinos, gives[11],

$$\frac{GNm^2}{R} = \frac{N^{2/3}\hbar^2}{mR^2},$$

whence,

$$N \sim 10^{90} \tag{5}$$

where N is the number of neutrinos, which is correct. (Incidentally we could verify that the Fermi Temperature $\sim 2^\circ K$, the background temperature).

Incidentally a neutrino mass m could imply an electric charge, by the following argument: in the case of the electrons it is known that a fluctuation in the number of electrons N_e , viz., $\sqrt{N_e}$ leads to the following energy balanced equation, keeping in mind the over all neutrality of electric charge (cf.ref.[11]):

$$\frac{e^2\sqrt{N_e}}{R} \approx m_e c^2 \tag{6}$$

which is indeed correct. In the case of the neutrino, if it has a charge e' , then a similar consideration leads to

$$\frac{e'^2\sqrt{N}}{R} \approx m c^2 \tag{7}$$

Using values for m and N for the background neutrinos as given in equations (4) and (5), on comparison of (7) with (6) we get

$$\frac{e'^2}{e^2} \sim 10^{-13}$$

More generally, the right side is given by, $(m/m_e)10^{-5}$.

Interestingly Hayakawa (cf.ref.[11]) assumes the neutrino mass given in (4) and there after using considerations similar to those above, deduces the weak interaction coupling constant also.

Appendix

We can see why, commutators can be used for neutrinos without contradicting the spin statistics theory[12]. For Fermionic fields the contradiction with

microscopic causality, which is the real problem arises because the symmetric propagator, the Lorentz invariant function,

$$\Delta_1(x - x') \equiv \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 3\omega_k} [e^{-ik \cdot (x-x')} + e^{ik \cdot (x-x')}]$$

does not vanish for space like intervals $(x - x')^2 < 0$, where the vacuum expectation value of the commutator is given by the spectral representation,

$$S_1(x-x') \equiv i \langle 0 | [\psi_\alpha(x), \psi_\beta(x')] | 0 \rangle = - \int dM^2 [\rho_1(M^2) \Delta_x + \rho_2(M^2)]_{\alpha\beta} \Delta_1(x-x')$$

Outside the light cone, $r > |t|$, where $r \equiv |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|$ and $t \equiv |x_0 - x'_0|$, Δ_1 is given by,

$$\Delta_1(x' - x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_0(m\sqrt{r^2 - t^2}),$$

where the modified Bessel function of the second kind, K_0 is given by,

$$K_0(mx) = \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(xy)}{\sqrt{m^2 + y^2}} dy = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\cos(xy)}{\sqrt{m^2 + y^2}} dy$$

(cf.[13, 14]). In our case, $x \equiv \sqrt{r^2 - t^2}$, and we have,

$$\Delta_1(x - x') = \text{const} \frac{1}{x} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{y \sin xy}{\sqrt{m^2 + y^2}} dy$$

As we are considering massless neutrinos, going to the limit as $m \rightarrow 0$, we get, $Lt_{m \rightarrow 0} \Delta_1(x - x') = (\text{const.}) Lt_{m \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \sin xy dy = 0$ if $x \neq 0$ (cf. also[15]). That is the invariant Δ_1 function vanishes everywhere except on the light cone $x = 0$, which is exactly what is required.

In other words, as pointed out from an alternative standpoint, the neutrino field can be quantized with commutators, that is there is the anomalous Bosonic behaviour.

Yet another justification for this can be obtained in[16].

References

- [1] cf. the website, www.phys.hawaii.edu/~superk for full details.

- [2] Feng, D.H., He, G.Z. and Q Li, X., Eds., Proceedings of the Nankei Summer School on Astrophysics and Neutrino Physics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [3] Sidharth, B.G., "Quantum Mechanical Black Holes: Issues and Ramifications", Proceedings of the International Symposium, 'Frontiers of Fundamental Physics', Universities Press (Orient Longman), 1998 (In Press). Also xxx.lanl.gov. quant-ph 9803048.
- [4] Sidharth, B.G., "The Universe of Fluctuations". Intl.J.Mod.Phys A 13(15), 1998.
- [5] Sidharth, B.G., Gravitation and Cosmology, 4 (2) (14), 1998.
- [6] Sidharth, B.G., Ind.J.Pure & Appd.Phys, 35 (7), 1997.
- [7] Sidharth, B.G., "The Bosonic Behaviour of Neutrinos", Technical Report, BSC-CAMCS 95-04-09.
- [8] Huang, K., "Statistical Mechanics", Wiley Eastern, New Delhi, 1975.
- [9] Weinberg, S., "Gravitation and Cosmology", (John Wiley, New York, 1972) and several references therein.
- [10] Sivaram, C., Astroph. and Space Science, 88, 1982.
- [11] Hayakawa, S., Suppl of PTP, 1965.
- [12] Bjorken, J.D., and Drell, S.D., "Relativistic Quantum Fields", Mc-Graw Hill, New York, 1965.
- [13] Whittaker, E.T., and Watson, G.N., "A Course of Modern Analysis", Cambridge University Press, 1962.
- [14] Morse, P.M., and Feschbach, H., "Methods of Theoretical Physics" (II), Mc-Graw Hill Book Co., New York, 1953.
- [15] Davydov, A.S., "Quantum Mechanics", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1965.
- [16] Sallhofer, H.H., "The Maxwell-Dirac Isomorphism", in "Essays on the Formal Aspects of Electromagnetic Theory", Ed., Lakhtakia, A., World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.